arXiv:0905.2550v1 [math.NT] 15 May 2009

Modular abelian varieties over number fields

Xavier Guitart, Jordi Quer

May 15, 2009

Abstract

The main result of this paper is a characterization of the abelian varieties B/K defined over Galois number fields with the property that the zeta function $L(B/K;s)$ is equivalent to the product of zeta functions of non-CM newforms for congruence subgroups $\Gamma_1(N)$. The characterization involves the structure of $\mathrm{End}(B)$, isogenies between the Galois conjugates of B, and a Galois cohomology class attached to B/K.

We call the varieties having this property *strongly modular*. The last section is devoted to the study of a family of abelian surfaces with quaternionic multiplication. As an illustration of the ways in which the general results of the paper can be applied we prove the strong modularity of some particular abelian surfaces belonging to that family, we show how to find nontrivial examples of strongly modular varieties by twisting, and prove the existence of strongly modular surfaces satisfying certain properties.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Strong modularity and GL_2-type **4**

3 $\mathbb{Q}$-abelian varieties **6**

4 K-building blocks **10**

5 Strongly modular abelian varieties **13**

6 QM jacobian surfaces **16**

1 Introduction

We will work in the category of abelian varieties up to isogeny, in which the objects are abelian varieties and the morphisms between two varieties A and B are the elements of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathrm{Hom}^0(A,B) := \mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$, where $\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$ denotes the usual $\mathbb{Z}$-module of homomorphisms between abelian varieties. In particular, the isogenies become isomorphisms in our category. For ease of notation we will use the standard $\mathrm{Hom}, \mathrm{End}$ and Aut to denote morphisms up to isogeny (in the literature they are often denoted with a zero superscript). As usual, a field as a subindex means morphisms defined over that field. The notation $A\sim B$ will denote that the abelian varieties A and B are isogenous, and $A \sim_K B$ that they are isogenous with an isogeny defined over the field K.

Let $f = \sum a_n q^n$ be a weight two newform for a congruence subgroup $\Gamma_1(N)$, and let $E_f = \mathbb{Q}(\{a_n\})$ be the number field generated by its Fourier coefficients. Shimura attached to f an abelian variety A_f defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ that he constructed as a subvariety of the Jacobian $J_1(N)$ of the modular curve $X_1(N)$. The variety A_f has dimension equal to the degree $[E_f : \mathbb{Q}]$, the algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_f)$ of its endomorphisms defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to the number field E_f, and the zeta-function $L(A_f/\mathbb{Q}; s)$ is equivalent (i.e. coincides up to a finite number of Euler factors) with the product $\prod L({}^{\sigma}f; s)$ of the zeta-functions of the Galois-conjugates of the form f (cf. [17, Section 7.5]).

The abelian varieties A_f and, more generally, all abelian varieties $A/\mathbb{Q}$ that are isogenous over $\mathbb{Q}$ to some A_f are usually known as *modular abelian varieties*. This modularity property has many important consequences and applications, for example:

- modularity implies the Hasse conjecture for the zeta function $L(A/\mathbb{Q}; s)$;
- the theory of Heegner points and results by Gross-Zagier and Kolyvagin produce partial results for the variety $A/\mathbb{Q}$ in the direction of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture;
- the modularity of Frey's elliptic curves can be used to solve certain Diophantine equations of Fermat-type.

Because of these nice properties modular abelian varieties have been intensively studied and exploited in the last decades. In practice one can easily compute and work with modular forms and the corresponding modular abelian varieties thanks to the powerful tool provided by the theory of modular symbols: see [2] for elliptic curves and [18] for arbitrary dimension. The computer systems `Magma` and `Sage` include packages programmed by William Stein that are able to perform many explicit computations with those objects.

In the other direction, one would like to characterize the modularity of a given variety $A/\mathbb{Q}$. In the one-dimensional case, the Shimura-Taniyama conjecture predicted that every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ is modular, and its proof was completed in 2000 using generalizations and variants of the ideas and techniques of Wiles. In [16] Ribet introduced the concept of a variety of GL_2-type as a variety $A/\mathbb{Q}$ for which $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is a number field of degree equal to its dimension. He generalized Shimura-Taniyama by conjecturing that every variety of GL_2-type is modular over $\mathbb{Q}$, and proved that this fact would be a consequence of Serre's conjecture on the modularity of 2-dimensional mod p Galois representations. After the recent proof of Serre's conjecture by Khare and Winterberger we now know that modularity of an abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ is equivalent to the property of being of GL_2-type.

The abelian varieties of GL_2-type are not absolutely simple in general: they factor up to isogeny as products of varieties defined over number fields. After some work done by Elkies in the one-dimensional case and by Ribet in general, in [11] Pyle gives a characterization of the abelian varieties defined over number fields that appear in the absolute decomposition of abelian varieties of GL_2-type, which depends on the structure of their endomorphism algebras and on the existence of isogenies between their Galois conjugates. She uses the name *building blocks* for them (also known as elliptic $\mathbb{Q}$-curves in the one-dimensional case) and generalizes the use of the term *modular abelian variety* to refer to an abelian variety B/K defined over a number field K that is isogenous to a factor of some A_f. In this sense, Ribet's generalization of Shimura-Taniyama conjecture predicts that building blocks and absolutely simple modular abelian varieties are the same things up to isogeny, and now, after the proof of Serre's conjecture, this is known to be a fact.

In this way one gets a new family of abelian varieties defined over number fields and is tempted to use their modularity in the same way as was done over $\mathbb{Q}$. But the key property of modularity that is used for applications is the relation of the zeta function of the variety with modular forms, and this property is only invariant by isogeny defined over the base field. Hence, if one wants the modularity being of use for varieties over number fields one must put more restrictive conditions on them. In this direction we propose the following

Definition 1.1 (Strong modularity) *An abelian variety B/K defined over a number field K is* strongly modular over K *if its zeta function $L(B/K;s)$ is equivalent to a product of L-series of newforms for congruence subgroups $\Gamma_1(N)$.*

The main purpose of this paper is to give a characterization of the varieties that have this property. The case of non-CM one-dimensional building blocks, i.e., of $\mathbb{Q}$-curves without complex multiplication, was already studied in [12]. This paper is a generalization of [12] to arbitrary dimension; many ideas and tools we will use here were already introduced in [16], [11] and [12].

Modular abelian varieties over number fields are much more difficult objects to deal with than the ones over $\mathbb{Q}$. If one starts in the modular forms side, the splitting of the varieties A_f into absolutely simple factors is worked out in [7] for non-CM varieties A_f, and the CM case is studied in [8]. From the computational point of view, `Magma` includes some functions providing information about the decomposition over number fields (see [14]) but there is still a lot of work to be done, including the need of new theoretical results, compared with what is known and implemented for varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$.

It would be desirable to have also methods to produce modular abelian varieties without the use of modular forms, with the modularity being just a consequence of their arithmetic and geometric properties. The one-dimensional case is of course the most well known, and for this reason it has been the main source of applications up to now: all elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ are strongly modular. Over number fields all CM elliptic curves are modular, and Elkies proved in [4] that non-CM modular elliptic curves are parameterized up to isogeny by the (non-cusp, non-CM) rational points of the modular curves $X^*(N)$ quotient of $X_0(N)$ by the group of Atkin-Lehner involutions, for squarefree values of N. A method to explicitly work-out this parametrization when the moduli variety $X^*(N)$ is of genus zero or one (this happens for 81 values of N in the range 2-238) is given in [6], and standard conjectures suggest that only a finite number of isogeny classes is missing out of these genus $\leqslant 1$ moduli curves. Once one has a modular elliptic curve the results in [12] can be used to characterize the curves in its isogeny class that are strongly modular, and explicit equations for them may be obtained using the methods developed in [13]. Strongly modular elliptic curves strictly not defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ have been used to solve Diophantine equations in [3].

The non-modular construction of higher dimensional modular abelian varieties has been performed up to now only over $\mathbb{Q}$. They appear either as jacobians of curves $C/\mathbb{Q}$ for which one is able to write down enough endomorphisms defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, or as the varieties corresponding to certain points in moduli varieties, especially Shimura curves. In the last section we construct a family of modular abelian surfaces over number fields that are strictly non-rational examples, in the sense that they cannot be obtained by just extending scalars from varieties defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and we see how the main theorem of this paper can be used to distinguish (and to produce) strongly modular examples.

Remark on complex multiplication. Shimura proved that a variety A_f has a factor with complex multiplication if, and only if, it is isogenous to a power of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication. This is also equivalent to the fact that the newform f admits a twist by a quadratic character, whose kernel is then the field of complex multiplication of the corresponding elliptic curve. The CM case would require a special treatment and, except for section 2, in which the results hold in complete generality, for the rest of the paper we will tacitly assume that *all abelian varieties considered have no CM-factors up to isogeny*; when necessary we will stress this condition by saying "non-CM abelian variety."

2 Strong modularity and GL_2-type

The purpose of this section is to show that the property used to define strong modularity for an abelian variety B/K over a number field K is equivalent to the fact that the abelian variety $A/\mathbb{Q}$ obtained by restriction of scalars $A = \mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is isogenous over $\mathbb{Q}$ to a product of abelian varieties of GL_2-type. Due to the fact that this last property is the one that plays the key role in this paper, many statements become simpler if we enlarge the definition of GL_2-type to include varieties that are not simple over $\mathbb{Q}$:

Definition 2.1 (GL_2-type) *An abelian variety $A/\mathbb{Q}$ is of* GL_2-type *if* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ *contains a commutative semisimple subalgebra of dimension equal to* $\dim A$.

Note that the standard use of "GL_2-type" in the literature corresponds to the varieties that satisfy our definition and are simple. The relation between the two concepts is analogous to the relation between arbitrary CM-abelian varieties and the simple ones (cf. [10, Pag. 29]) and is given by:

Lemma 2.2 *An abelian variety is of GL_2-type if, and only if, all its $\mathbb{Q}$-simple factors are of GL_2-type.*

Proof: For an abelian variety $A/\mathbb{Q}$ let $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times A_n^{r_n}$ be its decomposition up to $\mathbb{Q}$-isogeny into $\mathbb{Q}$-simple factors. Put $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i)$, let F_i be the center of $\mathcal{D}_i$ and let $t_i = [\mathcal{D}_i : F_i]^{1/2}$ be its index. The decomposition of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ into simple algebras is

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \simeq \mathrm{M}_{r_1}(\mathcal{D}_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{M}_{r_n}(\mathcal{D}_n), \tag{1}$$

and the reduced degree of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) : \mathbb{Q}]_{\mathrm{red}} = \sum r_i t_i [F_i : \mathbb{Q}]$.

If every A_i is of GL_2-type then $\mathcal{D}_i = F_i$ has degree $[F_i : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim A_i$. Every field extension E_i/F_i of degree r_i can be embedded in the matrix ring $\mathrm{M}_{r_i}(F_i)$ and the product $\prod E_i$ is a commutative semisimple subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ of dimension $\sum [E_i : \mathbb{Q}] = \sum r_i [F_i : \mathbb{Q}] = \sum r_i \dim A_i = \dim A$, hence A is of GL_2-type.

For the converse we will make use of the following basic facts about associative algebras: for any semisimple k-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ the maximal commutative semisimple subalgebras $E \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ have dimension $\dim_k E = [\mathcal{A} : k]_{\mathrm{red}}$, and for every faithful $\mathcal{A}$-module M one has $\dim_k M \geqslant [\mathcal{A} : k]_{\mathrm{red}}$ with the equality being only possible if all the simple subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ are matrix algebras over fields (cf. [10, Propositions 1.3 and 1.2]). The second fact applied to the space of tangent vectors $\mathrm{Lie}(B/\mathbb{Q})$ of any abelian variety $B/\mathbb{Q}$, which has dimension equal to $\dim B$, gives the inequality $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B) : \mathbb{Q}]_{\mathrm{red}} \leqslant \dim B$.

Assume now that A is of GL_2-type. Let $E \subseteq \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ be a commutative semisimple subalgebra with $[E:\mathbb{Q}] = \dim A$. Then by the previous results

$$\dim A = [E:\mathbb{Q}] \leqslant [\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}]_{\mathrm{red}} \leqslant \dim A.$$

Hence each step must be an equality and one deduces that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is product of matrix algebras over fields, i.e. that $t_i = 1$ for all i.

Now, using the inequalities $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i):\mathbb{Q}]_{\mathrm{red}} \leqslant \dim A_i$ for every index, we have

$$\dim A = [\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}]_{\mathrm{red}} = \sum r_i[F_i:\mathbb{Q}] = \sum r_i[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i):\mathbb{Q}]_{\mathrm{red}} \leqslant \sum r_i \dim A_i = \dim A,$$

and the equality at each summand follows, from which one deduces $[F_i:\mathbb{Q}] = \dim A_i$ for all i and all simple factors A_i are of GL_2-type. $\square$

Proposition 2.3 *An abelian variety $A/\mathbb{Q}$ is strongly modular over $\mathbb{Q}$ if, and only if, it is of* GL_2*-type.*

PROOF: If $A/\mathbb{Q}$ is of GL_2-type, by the previous lemma we have that $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times A_n^{r_n}$, where the A_i's are $\mathbb{Q}$-simple abelian varieties of GL_2-type. By Shimura-Taniyama there exist newforms f_i such that $A_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_{f_i}$. Then $L(A/\mathbb{Q},s) \sim \prod L(A_i/\mathbb{Q},s)^{r_i} \sim \prod L(A_{f_i}/\mathbb{Q},s)^{r_i}$ and since each $L(A_{f_i}/\mathbb{Q},s)$ is the product of the zeta functions of the newforms that are Galois conjugates of f_i, the variety A is strongly modular over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Now we prove the converse. Let $A/\mathbb{Q}$ be a strongly modular abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $f_1,\ldots,f_n$ be newforms such that $L(A/\mathbb{Q},s) = \prod L(f_i,s)$. Let E_i be the field of Fourier coefficients of f_i, and denote by $E = E_1 \cdot E_2 \cdots E_n$ the composition. Let $m = [E:\mathbb{Q}]$ and $m_i = [E:E_i]$, and denote by Σ_E and Σ_{E_i} the sets of their complex embeddings. For every index i the restriction of all the elements of Σ_E to the field E_i gives m_i copies of every element of Σ_{E_i}.

We will make use of the following notation: if $S = \sum a_n n^{-s}$ is a Dirichlet series and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$, we denote by ${}^{\sigma}S$ the series $\sum {}^{\sigma}a_n n^{-s}$; that is, the series obtained by applying σ to the coefficients a_n. Note that since $L(A/\mathbb{Q},s)$ has rational coefficients we have that ${}^{\sigma}L(A/\mathbb{Q},s) = L(A/\mathbb{Q},s)$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} L(A^m/\mathbb{Q},s) = L(A/\mathbb{Q},s)^m &= \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma_E} {}^{\sigma}L(A/\mathbb{Q},s) = \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma_E}\prod_{i=1}^{n} {}^{\sigma}L(f_i,s) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma_E} L({}^{\sigma}f_i,s) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma_{E_i}} L({}^{\sigma}f_i,s)^{m_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} L(A_{f_i}/\mathbb{Q},s)^{m_i} = L\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} A_{f_i}^{m_i}\right)/\mathbb{Q},s\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Faltings isogeny theorem the two varieties A^m and $\prod A_{f_i}^{m_i}$ must be isogenous over $\mathbb{Q}$ and by the unicity of decomposition up to $\mathbb{Q}$-isogeny into the product of $\mathbb{Q}$-simple varieties it follows that A is isogenous over $\mathbb{Q}$ to a product $\prod A_{f_i}^{e_i}$ for some exponents $e_i \geqslant 0$, and it is of GL_2-type. $\square$

For other number fields, the strong modularity can be reduced to that of the restriction of scalars and one has the following:

Proposition 2.4 *An abelian variety B/K over a number field K is strongly modular over K if, and only if,* $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B/K)$ *is of* GL_2*-type.*

Proof: The equality of zeta functions $L(B/K,s) = L((\operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} B)/\mathbb{Q}, s)$ implies that B is strongly modular over K if, and only if, $\operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} B$ is strongly modular over $\mathbb{Q}$, and by the previous proposition this is the case if, and only if, $\operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} B$ is of GL_2-type. $\square$

Combining lemma 2.3 with this proposition one immediately obtains the following:

Corollary 2.5 *An abelian variety is strongly modular over a number field K if, and only if, all its K-simple factors are strongly modular over K.*

3 $\mathbb{Q}$-abelian varieties

The absolutely simple factors up to isogeny of non-CM abelian varieties of GL_2-type are studied by Ribet in [16] and by Pyle in [11]. A common property of the non-CM abelian varieties of GL_2-type and of their simple factors is that the nobject consisting of the variety together with its endomorphisms has as field of moduli the field of rational numbers. In order to deal with this property the following definitions are useful. For a given abelian variety $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and Galois automorphism $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, an isogeny $\mu_\sigma\colon {}^{\sigma}B \to B$ is said to be *compatible* if the map $\psi \mapsto \mu_\sigma \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi \circ \mu_\sigma^{-1}$ is the identity on the endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}(B)$, i.e. if the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}^{\sigma}B \arrow[r, "\mu_\sigma"] \arrow[d, "{}^{\sigma}\psi"'] & B \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\
{}^{\sigma}B \arrow[r, "\mu_\sigma"'] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then the property that the pair $(B, \operatorname{End}(B))$ has field of moduli equal to $\mathbb{Q}$ is given by the

Definition 3.1 ($\mathbb{Q}$-abelian variety, [11, Pag. 194]) *A* $\mathbb{Q}$-abelian variety *is an abelian variety $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that for every $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ there exists a compatible isogeny $\mu_\sigma\colon {}^{\sigma}B \to B$.*

Let F be the center of the endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}(B)$. It is easily seen that if the isogeny $\mu_\sigma\colon {}^{\sigma}B \to B$ is compatible, then all compatible isogenies between these two varieties are the maps of the form $\psi \circ \mu_\sigma$ for $\psi \in F^*$; also, if B is a $\mathbb{Q}$-abelian variety, then all its endomorphisms belonging to the center F are defined over every field of definition for B.

The cocycle class $[c_B]$. Let $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-variety, and denote by F the center of the endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}(B)$. Since the variety is defined over some number field one can always choose a set of compatible isogenies $\{\mu_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ between conjugates of B that is locally constant. Define the map

$$c_B\colon G_{\mathbb{Q}} \times G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to F^*, \qquad c_B(\sigma,\tau) = \mu_\sigma \circ {}^{\sigma}\mu_\tau \circ \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}, \tag{2}$$

whose properties, that can be seen by a straightforward check, are stated in the:

Lemma 3.2 *The map c_B is a well defined continuous 2-cocycle of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with values in the group F^*, considered as a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module with trivial action, and the cohomology class $[c_B] \in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*)$ does not depend on the locally constant set of isogenies used to define the cocycle c_B. Moreover, the class $[c_B]$ only depends on the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isogeny class of B.*

This invariant $[c_B]$ gives the obstruction to descend up to isogeny the variety and its endomorphisms over a given number field. The following result is stated as Proposition 5.2 in [11] for $\mathbb{Q}$-abelian varieties that are building blocks, but in the proof given there the structure of the endomorphism algebra plays no role, so we enounce it here in full generality:

Proposition 3.3 (Ribet-Pyle) *Let $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-variety and let K be a number field. There exists an abelian variety defined over K and with all the endomorphisms defined over K that is isogenous to B if, and only if, $[c_B]$ belongs to the kernel of the restriction map* $\mathrm{Res}\colon H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*) \to H^2(G_K, F^*)$.

Generalizing the terminology introduced in [13] for $\mathbb{Q}$-elliptic curves we will say that a $\mathbb{Q}$-variety B is *completely defined* over a Galois number field K if the variety B, all its endomorphisms, and all compatible isogenies between Galois conjugates are defined over the field K. In this case, let $\mu_s\colon {}^sB \to B$ be a compatible isogeny for each element $s \in G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Then, as for (2), one sees that the map

$$c_{B/K}\colon G \times G \to F^*, \qquad c_{B/K}(s,t) = \mu_s \circ {}^s\mu_t \circ \mu_{st}^{-1} \tag{3}$$

is a well defined 2-cocycle of G with values in the trivial G-module F^* whose cohomology class $[c_{B/K}] \in H^2(K/\mathbb{Q}, F^*)$ is an invariant of the K-isogeny class of the variety B. Moreover, its inflation to the full Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ coincides with $[c_B]$, and this fact has also a converse in the following sense:

Proposition 3.4 *Let $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-variety and let K be a Galois number field. There exists an abelian variety completely defined over K that is isogenous to B if, and only if, $[c_B]$ belongs to the image of the inflation map* $\mathrm{Inf}\colon H^2(K/\mathbb{Q}, F^*) \to H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*)$.

Moreover, if $[c_B] = \mathrm{Inf}([c])$ for some cocycle class $[c] \in H^2(K/\mathbb{Q}, F^*)$, then there exists such a variety B_0/K such that $[c_{B_0/K}] = [c]$.

PROOF: Since the image of the inflation lies in the kernel of the restriction, by the proposition 3.3 we can suppose that B and all of its endomorphisms are defined over K.

Assume that $[c_B] = \mathrm{Inf}([c])$. Modifying the 2-cocycle c by a coboundary we can assume that it is normalized, i.e. takes the value $c(1,1) = 1$, and as a consequence of the cocycle condition this implies that also $c(s,1) = c(1,s) = 1$ for every $s \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, by changing the choice of compatible isogenies between conjugates of B used in (2) to define c_B, we can also suppose that $\inf(c)$ coincides with the cocycle c_B. This implies that $c_B(\sigma,\tau) = 1$ whenever σ or τ belong to the subgroup G_K. It follows that the map $\sigma \mapsto \mu_\sigma$ is a one-cocycle of the group G_K with values in the group $\mathrm{Aut}(B)$, viewed as a module with the natural Galois action of G_K, which is in fact the trivial action since all the elements of $\mathrm{End}(B)$ are defined over K.

Let B_0 be the twist of B by this one-cocycle: it is an abelian variety B_0 defined over K together with an isogeny $\kappa\colon B \to B_0$ such that $\mu_\sigma = \kappa^{-1} \circ {}^\sigma\kappa$ for all $\sigma \in G_K$. We will see that this variety satisfies the conditions of the proposition.

Every endomorphism of B_0 is of the form $\kappa \circ \psi \circ \kappa^{-1}$ for some $\psi \in \mathrm{End}(B)$. Since all endomorphisms of B are defined over K and the isogenies μ_σ are compatible, for every $\sigma \in G_K$ one has

$${}^\sigma(\kappa \circ \psi \circ \kappa^{-1}) = {}^\sigma\kappa \circ {}^\sigma\psi \circ {}^\sigma\kappa^{-1} = \kappa \circ \mu_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\psi \circ \mu_\sigma^{-1} \circ \kappa^{-1} = \kappa \circ \psi \circ \kappa^{-1}$$

and the endomorphisms of B_0 are defined over K too.

A calculation shows that the maps $\nu_\sigma := \kappa \circ \mu_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\kappa^{-1}$ are compatible isogenies ${}^\sigma B_0 \to B_0$ for every $\sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q}$, and the relation of $\mu_\sigma = \kappa^{-1} \circ {}^\sigma\kappa$ for elements $\sigma \in G_K$ shows that $\nu_\sigma = 1$ for the σ fixing the field K. The cocycle c_{B_0} computed from this set of isogenies is related to c_B by $c_{B_0}(\sigma, \tau) = \kappa \circ c_B(\sigma, \tau) \circ \kappa^{-1}$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in G_\mathbb{Q}$. Since c_B is the inflation of c and this cocycle is normalized, one deduces that $c_{B_0}(\sigma, \tau) = 1$ if either σ or τ belong to the subgroup G_K. Applying this fact to a pair $\sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q}$ and $\tau \in G_K$ one deduces that

$$c_{B_0}(\sigma, \tau) = \nu_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\nu_\tau \circ \nu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = \nu_\sigma \circ \nu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nu_{\sigma\tau} = \nu_\sigma,$$

which means that ν_σ only depends on the action of σ on K (i.e. on the class of σ modulo the normal subgroup G_K). Now, applying the identity to a pair $\sigma \in G_K$ and $\tau \in G_\mathbb{Q}$ one has

$$\nu_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\nu_\tau \circ \nu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = {}^\sigma\nu_\tau \circ \nu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = {}^\sigma\nu_\tau \circ \nu_\tau^{-1} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad {}^\sigma\nu_\tau = \nu_\tau$$

proving that the compatible isogenies ν_σ are also defined over K for every $\sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q}$.

Finally, for every element $s \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ let ν_s be the isogeny ν_σ for any $\sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q}$ whose action on K is given by the element s. In this way one obtains a set of compatible isogenies for B_0 defined over the field K and the cocycle $c_{B_0/K}$ computed using this set is the cocycle $c_{B_0/K}(s,t) = \kappa \circ c(s,t) \circ \kappa^{-1}$. Hence, under the isomorphism between the centers of the endomorphisms of the varieties B_0 and B given by conjugation by the isogeny κ between them, the cohomology class $[c_{B_0/K}]$ is the class $[c]$ we started with. □

SIMPLE $\mathbb{Q}$-VARIETIES OF THE FIRST KIND. Up to now we have put no restrictions in the $\mathbb{Q}$-abelian varieties considered; in particular we have not assumed the varieties to be simple. If $B \sim \prod B_i^{m_i}$ is the decomposition up to isogeny into simple varieties then it is easy to see that B is a $\mathbb{Q}$-abelian variety if, and only if, all its simple factors have this property, but we will not need this fact here. For the study of the modular abelian varieties we are interested in, the case of interest is when the center F of $\mathrm{End}(B)$ is a totally real number field; this is equivalent to say that the previous decomposition has a unique simple factor that is a variety of the first kind in the standard terminology employed for the classification of simple abelian varieties according to the type of their endomorphism algebras as algebras with involution. We also recall that the endomorphism algebras of simple varieties of the first kind are either a totally real number field (type I varieties) or a quaternion algebra over such field, that may be either totally indefinite (type II) or totally definite (type III). So, we assume from now on that $F = Z(\mathrm{End}(B))$ is a totally real number field; we could also assume that B is absolutely simple but in fact that is not necessary and everything below works for varieties that are powers of simple varieties of the first kind.

Generalizing to our situation the definitions given first by Ribet in [15, Pag. 113] for simple varieties of type I, and then by Pyle in [11, Pag. 218] for building blocks, one can define for every compatible isogeny $\mu_\sigma \colon {}^\sigma B \to B$ its "degree" $\delta(\mu_\sigma)$, which is a totally positive element of the field F whose reduced norm as an element of the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\mathrm{End}(B)$ is the usual degree of the isogeny μ_σ. Moreover, from the definition of this map and the fact that the Rosati involution fixes the elements of the center F one gets, exactly as in [15, 11], the following identity:

$$c_B(\sigma, \tau)^2 = \delta(\mu_\sigma)\delta(\mu_\tau)\delta(\mu_{\sigma\tau})^{-1} \tag{4}$$

showing that the cohomology class $[c_B]$ belongs to the 2-torsion subgroup $H^2(G_\mathbb{Q}, F^*)[2]$.

Now, the structure of the group $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*)[2]$ is particularly simple and a number of consequences about fields of definition can be deduced just by looking at it. As it is described in [15, Pag. 114] (see also [14, Section 2]), if one starts with any group isomorphism $F^* \simeq \{\pm 1\} \times F^*/\{\pm 1\}$, and using basic facts of group cohomology, one obtains a decomposition

$$H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*)[2] \simeq H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \{\pm 1\}) \times \mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*/\{\pm 1\}F^{*2}) \tag{5}$$

under which every cohomology class $\xi \in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*)$ of 2-torsion has two components $\xi = (\xi_{\pm}, \overline{\xi})$. The *sign* component $\xi_{\pm} \in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \{\pm 1\}) \simeq \mathrm{Br}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ is an element of the 2-torsion of the Brauer group of $\mathbb{Q}$. The *degree* component $\overline{\xi}$ is a group homomorphism $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to F^*/\{\pm 1\}F^{*2}$. Note that the decomposition of the cohomology group depends on the decomposition of the (trivial) $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module F^* we have chosen, but it is easy to see that the degree component does not depend on it, and also that, for the classes $[c_B]$ attached to $\mathbb{Q}$-varieties B, the degree component is just the map $\sigma \mapsto \delta(\mu_\sigma) \mod \{\pm 1\}F^{*2}$ (hence the name).

Given an element $\xi \in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*)[2]$ we will denote by K_P the field fixed by the kernel of the degree component $\overline{\xi}$; since this morphism takes values into a 2-torsion group, the field K_P is an abelian extension of exponent 2 of the field $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 3.5 *Let B be a $\mathbb{Q}$-abelian variety with $F = Z(\mathrm{End}(B))$ a totally real number field. Let K_P be the field fixed by the kernel of $\overline{[c_B]}$. Then,*

1. *if $B_0 \sim B$ with B_0 and $\mathrm{End}(B_0)$ defined over K then $K_P \subseteq K$;*
2. *there exist isogenous varieties $B_0 \sim B$ defined over fields of the form $K = K_P \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, with $\mathrm{End}(B_0)$ also defined over K;*
3. *there exist isogenous varieties $B_0 \sim B$ completely defined over fields of the form $K = K_P \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$.*

PROOF: The decomposition (5) has analogues for the group $H^2(G_K, F^*)[2]$ for every number field K and for the group $H^2(K/\mathbb{Q}, F^*)[2]$ for every Galois number field K, and the restriction and inflation maps respect the corresponding decompositions. It follows that the class $[c_B]$ belongs to the kernel (resp. the image) of the restriction to the first group (resp. of the inflation from the second group) if, and only if, the two components sign and degree belong to the corresponding kernels (resp. images).

As for the degree component $\overline{[c_B]} \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*/\{\pm 1\}F^{*2})$ each of the two conditions either on the inflation or on the restriction are equivalent to the fact that $K_P \subseteq K$. Every element of $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \{\pm 1\}) \simeq \mathrm{Br}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ can be identified with a quaternion algebra, that can be written as a pair $(a, b)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, using the standard notation. Such an element always can be trivialized by restriction to a (at most) quadratic extension, for example the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$. Also, this element can be inflated from a cohomology class defined on the Galois group of a (at most) biquadratic extension, for example the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$ (see [13, Section 2]). □

In [15] Ribet proved that for varieties with $\mathrm{End}(B) = F$ a totally real number field of odd degree $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim B$ the field K_P already trivializes the sign component, so that there are always $B_0 \sim B$ with $\mathrm{End}(B_0)$ defined over K_P. It is easily seen that his argument works in fact for all $\mathbb{Q}$-varieties of the first kind of odd dimension without the assumption on the size of their endomorphisms. On the contrary, for even dimension this fact is not true any more, as the modular examples given in [14] show.

4 K-building blocks

Since we want to study abelian varieties over a number field K that are quotients up to K-isogeny of varieties of GL_2-type, we adapt slightly the definition of "building block" given by Pyle in [11, Pag.195], in order to keep track of their decomposition over K and not merely over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Definition 4.1 *Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be a Galois extension. We say that a (non-CM) abelian variety B/K is a K-*building block *if*

1. *B is a $\mathbb{Q}$-variety having compatible isogenies $\mu_\sigma\colon {}^\sigma B \to B$ defined over K for every $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*
2. *$\mathrm{End}_K(B)$ is a division algebra $\mathcal{D}$ with center a number field E, having index $t \leqslant 2$ and reduced degree $t[E:\mathbb{Q}] = \dim B$.*

We note the following remarks:

- The requirement of $\mathrm{End}_K(B)$ being a division algebra implies that K-building blocks are K-simple abelian varieties, but they may factor over larger fields.
- The $\mathbb{Q}$-building blocks are the non-CM $\mathbb{Q}$-simple abelian varieties of GL_2-type.
- The $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-building blocks are the building blocks in the sense of Pyle's definition; we will also use this terminology without a prefix field sometimes.
- For $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-building blocks the field E is necessarily a totally real field, equal to the center of $\mathrm{End}(B)$, but in general it may be either totally real or a CM-field, and the center F of $\mathrm{End}(B)$ is a (necessarily totally real) subfield of E.
- We do not require B to have all its endomorphisms defined over K. This means that a K-building block is not necessarily a $\mathbb{Q}$-variety completely defined over K.

Proposition 4.2 *Let $A/\mathbb{Q}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-simple abelian variety of GL_2-type and let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be a Galois extension. Then the extension of scalars A/K is K-isogenous to a power B^n of a K-building block B/K.*

Proof: Let $A \sim_K B_1^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B_r^{n_r}$ be the decomposition up to K-isogeny into K-simple varieties. Since $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is a subfield of $\mathrm{End}(A)$ it acts on each isotypical factor $B_i^{n_i}$, hence $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}] \mid 2n_i \dim B_i$. But $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}] = \dim A = \sum n_i \dim B_i$, and this implies that either $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}] = n_i \dim B_i$ or $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}] = 2n_i \dim B_i$ for each index. The second case is not possible since we are assuming that no subvariety of A has CM, and so $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}] = n_i \dim B_i$, which implies that there is only one isotypical factor and $A \sim_K B^n$.

Next, we prove that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is a maximal subfield of $\mathrm{End}_K(A)$ or, equivalently, that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is its own centralizer in $\mathrm{End}_K(A)$. Let φ be an element of $\mathrm{End}_K(A)$ that commutes with $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$. The image $\varphi(A)$ is isogenous to B^r for some r. Since φ commutes with $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$, the field $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ acts on B^r, and this implies that $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}] \mid 2r \dim B$. This only gives two options: either $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}] = r \dim B$ or $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}] = 2r \dim B$. Again, the second is not allowed since B^r can not have CM. This means that $r = n$ and φ is an isogeny. Hence, $C(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A))$ is a field and therefore $C(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$.

Put $E = Z(\mathrm{End}_K(B))$ and t the index of $\mathrm{End}_K(B)$. We can prove now that $t[E:\mathbb{Q}] = \dim B$. This comes from the decomposition $A \sim_K B^n$, which translates into an isomorphism $\mathrm{End}_K(A) \simeq \mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{End}_K(B))$. Since $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is a maximal subfield of $\mathrm{End}_K(A)$ taking dimensions over E we have that $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) : E] = nt$, and multiplying both sides of this expression by $[E:\mathbb{Q}]$ it gives $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}] = nt[E:\mathbb{Q}]$. Since $[\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A):\mathbb{Q}] = \dim A = n \dim B$ we see that $\dim B = t[E:\mathbb{Q}]$.

Since B is K-simple, $\mathrm{End}_K(B)$ is a division algebra acting on $H_1(B,\mathbb{Q})$; therefore $[\mathrm{End}_K(B) : \mathbb{Q}] \mid 2\dim B$. This means that $t^2[E:\mathbb{Q}] \mid 2\dim B$, and by the relation $t[E:\mathbb{Q}] = \dim B$ we see that $t \dim B \mid 2\dim B$, showing that $t \leqslant 2$.

Finally, we have to show that B is a $\mathbb{Q}$-variety with isogenies between Galois conjugates defined over K. The argument is the same as in the proof of the proposition 1.4 in [11], but starting from an isogeny between A_K and B^n defined over K. It only has to be noticed that the isogenies $\alpha(\sigma)\colon A \to A$ that appear in that proof are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and this implies that the compatible isogenies defined on page 195 of [11] are defined over K. □

Corollary 4.3 *If a K-simple variety is strongly modular over a Galois number field K, then it is a K-building block.*

Proof: Call B this variety. By proposition 2.3 being strongly modular over K is equivalent to the fact that $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is of GL_2-type. Since $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B) \sim_K \prod_{s\in \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})} {}^sB$, the variety B is a K-simple factor of the extension of scalars of a $\mathbb{Q}$-simple variety of GL_2-type, and then it is a K-building block by the previous lemma. □

The converse of this corollary is not true: a K-building block needs extra conditions to be strongly modular. This conditions are related to a cohomology class $[c_{B/K}]$ attached to B that is defined in a similar way than (3) as follows. Let B be a K-building block over a Galois number field K; let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ and $E = \mathrm{End}_K(B)$. Let $\{\mu_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in G_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ be a compatible set of isogenies defined over K. For each $s \in G$ choose a representative $\tilde{s}$ in $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and define

$$c_{B/K}\colon G \times G \to E^*, \qquad c_{B/K}(s,t) = \mu_{\tilde{s}} \circ {}^{\tilde{s}}\mu_{\tilde{t}} \circ \mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1}.$$

When all the endomorphisms of B are defined over K this cocycle $c_{B/K}(s,t)$ coincides with (3). Since now we are not requiring the field K to be a field of definition of all the endomorphisms of B, we can only guarantee that $c_{B/K}(s,t)$ lies in E^* but not in F^* as it happens when the variety is completely defined over K. In the next lemma we state the main properties of this cocycle.

Lemma 4.4 *The map $c_{B/K}$ is a 2-cocycle of G with values in E^*, considered as a module with trivial action. The cohomology class $[c_{B/K}] \in H^2(K/\mathbb{Q}, E^*)$ does not depend neither on the lift $s \mapsto \tilde{s}$, nor on the choice of the isogenies $\mu_{\tilde{s}}$. Moreover, the inflation of $[c_{B/K}]$ to $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, E^*)$ coincides with the image of $[c_B]$ by the morphism $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*) \to H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, E^*)$ induced by the embedding $F^* \hookrightarrow E^*$.*

Proof: Let φ be an element of $\mathrm{End}_K(B)$. Since $\widetilde{st} = \tilde{s}\,\tilde{t}\,\tau$ for some $\tau \in G_K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{B/K}(s,t)\circ\varphi &= \mu_{\tilde{s}}\circ{}^{\tilde{s}}\mu_{\tilde{t}}\circ\mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1}\circ\varphi = \mu_{\tilde{s}}\circ{}^{\tilde{s}}\mu_{\tilde{t}}\circ{}^{\widetilde{st}}\varphi\circ\mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1} = \\ &= \mu_{\tilde{s}}\circ{}^{\tilde{s}}\mu_{\tilde{t}}\circ{}^{\tilde{s}\,\tilde{t}\,\tau}\varphi\circ\mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1} = \mu_{\tilde{s}}\circ{}^{\tilde{s}}\mu_{\tilde{t}}\circ{}^{\tilde{s}\,\tilde{t}}\varphi\circ\mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1} = \\ &= \mu_{\tilde{s}}\circ{}^{\tilde{s}}\varphi\circ{}^{\tilde{s}}\mu_{\tilde{t}}\circ\mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1} = \varphi\circ\mu_{\tilde{s}}\circ{}^{\tilde{s}}\mu_{\tilde{t}}\circ\mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1} = \varphi\circ c_{B/K}(s,t), \end{aligned}$$

and this shows that $c_B(s,t)$ lies in E. In the same way we can prove the cocycle condition, and the independence on the set $\{\mu_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in G_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is seen in an analogous way than for the case of the cocycle c_B.

Observe that, if $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is such that $\sigma|_K = \tilde{s}|_K$ then $\mu_\sigma \circ \mu_{\tilde{s}}^{-1}$ commutes with the elements in $\mathrm{End}_K(B)$; therefore, we can write $\mu_\sigma = \lambda_\sigma \circ \mu_{\tilde{s}}$ for some $\lambda_\sigma \in E^*$. Using this it is immediate to see that the use of another lift from $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ to $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ would modify the cocycle $c_{B/K}$ by a coboundary.

It remains to prove the last statement in the lemma. Take $\sigma, \tau \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and put $s = \sigma|_K$, $t = \tau|_K$. We use the same name for the cocycles and for their images for the morphisms involved; namely, $c_{B/K}$ is the inflation to $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $c_{B/K}$ and c_B is the image of c_B in $Z^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, E^*)$. By the definitions $c_B(\sigma,\tau) = \mu_\sigma \circ {}^{\sigma}\mu_\tau \circ \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$ and $c_{B/K}(\sigma,\tau) = \mu_{\tilde{s}} \circ {}^{\tilde{s}}\mu_{\tilde{t}} \circ \mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1}$. Since $\sigma_{|K} = \tilde{s}_{|K}$ we see that $\mu_\sigma = \mu_{\tilde{s}} \circ \lambda_\sigma$ for some $\lambda_\sigma \in E$. Now $c_B(\sigma,\tau) = c_{B/K}(\sigma,\tau) \circ \lambda_\sigma \circ \lambda_\tau \circ \lambda_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$ and the two cocycles are cohomologous. □

RESTRICTION OF SCALARS OF K-BUILDING BLOCKS. Our objective now is to compute the algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B))$ for a K-building block B. What we obtain is a generalization of the expression found by Ribet in [16, Lemma 6.4] for the case of $\mathbb{Q}$-curves, giving the algebra as a twisted group algebra; the main difference is that in our case the algebra is obtained by a construction that mimics the standard twisted group algebra definition, which we first describe in abstract terms.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be any central E-algebra and let $c \in Z^2(G, E^*)$ be a two-cocycle of a finite group G with values in the multiplicative group E^* viewed as a module with trivial action. One defines the E-algebra $\mathcal{A}^c[G]$ by just generalizing the usual definition of twisted group algebra: it is the free left $\mathcal{A}$-module $\oplus_{s\in G}\mathcal{A}\cdot\lambda_s$ with basis a set of symbols λ_s indexed by the elements $s \in G$ and multiplication defined by the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot \lambda_s &= \lambda_s \cdot a, \qquad \text{for} \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \\ \lambda_s \cdot \lambda_t &= c(s,t) \cdot \lambda_{st}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The cocycle condition for c is used to check that this definition makes sense and produces an associative algebra, and of course its isomorphism class does only depend on the cohomology class of the cocycle c. This algebra is related with the twisted group algebra $E^c[G]$ through the following isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{A}^c[G] \simeq \mathcal{A} \otimes_E E^c[G] \qquad \text{as} \quad E\text{-algebras}.$$

Indeed, if we put $E^c[G] = \oplus_{s\in G} E \cdot \lambda_s$ then the map $a \otimes \sum x_s \cdot \lambda_s \mapsto \sum (a x_s)\cdot\lambda_s \colon \mathcal{A} \otimes_E E^c[G] \to \mathcal{A}^c[G]$ is an isomorphism of E-algebras.

Proposition 4.5 *Let B be a K-building block over a Galois number field with group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{End}_K(B)$ and $E = Z(\mathcal{D})$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)) \simeq \mathcal{D} \otimes_E E^{c_{B/K}}[G]. \tag{7}$$

PROOF: Call A the variety $\mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$. For each $s \in G$ fix a representative $\tilde{s}$ for s in $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, but imposing that $\tilde{1} = 1$. Let $\{\mu_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in G_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ be a locally constant set of compatible isogenies defined over K in which we have chosen μ_1 to be the identity. We know that $A \sim_K \prod_{s\in G} {}^{\tilde{s}}B$, and that by the universal property of the functor restriction of scalars $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_K(A, B)$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_K(A, B) \simeq \prod_{s\in G} \mathrm{Hom}_K({}^{\tilde{s}}B, B) \simeq \prod_{s\in G} \mathcal{D}\cdot\mu_{\tilde{s}}$$

and we see that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is a left $\mathcal{D}$-module of dimension $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$. We shall determine now its structure as an algebra. Define, for $s \in G$, λ_s to be the endomorphism of A which sends ${}^{\widetilde{ts}}B$ to ${}^{\tilde{t}}B$ via ${}^{\tilde{t}}\mu_{\tilde{s}}$. It is fixed by all elements in $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and so it is an endomorphism of A defined over $\mathbb{Q}$; since we forced $\tilde{1}$ to be 1, we can identify λ_1 with the identity endomorphism of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$.

We can embed $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ by sending each $d \in \mathcal{D}$ to the morphism whose components are the diagonal maps ${}^{\tilde{s}}d\colon {}^{\tilde{s}}B \to {}^{\tilde{s}}B$. Hence, we can multiply the λ_s by elements d in $\mathcal{D}$ in the following way, depending on whether we left or right multiply:

$$d\circ\lambda_s\colon {}^{\widetilde{ts}}B \xrightarrow{{}^{\tilde{t}}\mu_{\tilde{s}}} {}^{\tilde{t}}B \xrightarrow{{}^{\tilde{t}}d} {}^{\tilde{t}}B$$

$$\lambda_s\circ d\colon {}^{\widetilde{ts}}B \xrightarrow{{}^{\widetilde{ts}}d} {}^{\widetilde{ts}}B \xrightarrow{{}^{\tilde{t}}\mu_{\tilde{s}}} {}^{\tilde{t}}B.$$

By the compatibility of the isogenies it is clear that these two maps coincide, and therefore $d\circ\lambda_s = \lambda_s\circ d$. Also the compatibility of the isogenies gives us the formula $\lambda_s\circ\lambda_t = c_{B/K}(s,t)\circ\lambda_{st}$. Hence the multiplication in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is given in terms of this basis by formulas (6) with cocycle $c_{B/K}$, hence this algebra is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}^{c_{B/K}}[G]$. $\square$

5 Strongly modular abelian varieties

Let B be a K-building block over a Galois number field K with Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{End}_K(B)$, $E = Z(\mathcal{D})$, and t the index of $\mathcal{D}$. Recall that in the previous section we have associated to B/K a cohomology class $[c_{B/K}] \in H^2(G, E^*)$; in this section we characterize when B is strongly modular over K in terms of that class.

Lemma 5.1 *Let B be a K-building block over a Galois number field K. If $A = \mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is an abelian variety of* GL_2*-type, then*

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_1^t \times \cdots \times A_n^t,$$

with the A_i pairwise non-isogenous $\mathbb{Q}$-simple abelian varieties of GL_2*-type.*

Proof: A priori we know that

$$A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times A_n^{r_n} \tag{8}$$

for some $r_i > 0$ and with the A_i being non-isogenous $\mathbb{Q}$-simple abelian varieties of GL_2-type. If we put $E_i = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i)$ then $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \simeq \mathrm{M}_{r_1}(E_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{M}_{r_n}(E_n)$.

First we show that each r_i is at least t. If $t = 1$ this is clear, so we suppose now that $t = 2$. There is an injection of algebras $\mathrm{End}_K(B) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$, and so $\mathrm{End}_K(B)$ injects into each simple component $M_{r_i}(E_i)$ of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$. If $t = 2$ then $\mathrm{End}_K(B)$ is non-commutative,and so each r_i must be at least 2.

Now we show that each r_i is in fact equal to t. On the one hand we know, by the universal property of the restriction of scalars, that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_K(A_K, B)$, and using that $A_K \sim_K \prod_{s \in \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})} {}^sB$ we have that

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}} A \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_K(A_K, B) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_K(\prod {}^sB, B) \simeq \bigoplus_{s \in \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})} \operatorname{Hom}_K({}^sB, B). \tag{9}$$

Since B is a K-building block each ${}^sB$ is K-isogenous to B, and so we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\operatorname{Hom}_K({}^sB, B) \simeq \mathcal{D}$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of dimension $t^2[E:\mathbb{Q}] = t \dim B$ one obtains $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) = |G| t \dim B = t \dim A$.

On the other hand, we can use the expression (8) to calculate the same dimension. We have shown that $r_i \geqslant t$ for all i. Suppose that for some i we had $r_i > t$. Then we would find that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}} A &= r_1^2 \dim A_1 + \cdots + r_n^2 \dim A_n > t r_1 \dim A_1 + \cdots + t r_n \dim A_n = \\ &= t(r_1 \dim A_1 + \cdots + r_n \dim A_n) = t \dim A,\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction with the first calculation we made. $\square$

Lemma 5.2 *Let B be a K-building block over a Galois number field with $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Then B is strongly modular if, and only if, the algebra $E^{c_{B/K}}[G]$ is commutative.*

PROOF: First suppose that $E^{c_{B/K}}[G]$ is commutative. Then it is a product of fields, say $E^{c_{B/K}}[G] = \prod E_i$. Call A the variety $\operatorname{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$. By proposition 4.5 we know that

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \simeq \mathcal{D} \otimes_E E^{c_{B/K}}[G] \simeq \prod \mathcal{D} \otimes_E E_i,$$

with $\mathcal{D} \otimes_E E_i$ a central simple E_i-algebra with index t_i dividing t. Corresponding to this decomposition of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ there is a decomposition of A up to $\mathbb{Q}$-isogeny: $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \prod A_i$, and $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i) \simeq \mathcal{D} \otimes_E E_i$. As $A_K \simeq \prod {}^sB \sim_K B^{|G|}$, each A_i is K-isogenous to B^{n_i} for some n_i. We claim that n_i equals $[E_i : E]$. To prove the claim, first we observe that the natural inclusion $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_K(A_i)$ gives an injective morphism $\mathcal{D} \otimes_E E_i \hookrightarrow \mathrm{M}_{n_i}(\mathcal{D})$. Looking at the reduced degrees of these algebras over E we see that $t[E_i : E] \leqslant t n_i$, and then $[E_i : E] \leqslant n_i$. To see the equality, we can use that on the one hand, as $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \simeq \bigoplus_{s \in G} \operatorname{Hom}({}^sB, B) \simeq \bigoplus_{s \in G} \mathcal{D}$ we have that:

$$[\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) : E] = |G| t^2 = t^2 \sum n_i.$$

But, on the other hand we have that:

$$[\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) : E] = [\mathcal{D} \otimes_E \prod E_i \ : E] = t^2 \sum [E_i : E],$$

and this gives that $[E_i : E] = n_i$.

Returning to the proof of the lemma, since $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i) \simeq \mathcal{D} \otimes_E E_i$ is a central simple algebra of index $t_i | t$, there exists a division E_i-algebra $\mathcal{D}_i$ of index t_i acting on the differentials of A_i. The space of differentials of A_i is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of dimension equal to the dimension of A_i, and so we have that $[\mathcal{D}_i : \mathbb{Q}] | \dim A_i$. But $[\mathcal{D}_i : \mathbb{Q}] = t_i^2 [E_i : E][E : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $\dim A_i = n_i \dim B = n_i t [E : \mathbb{Q}] = t [E_i : E][E : \mathbb{Q}]$, because $n_i = [E_i : E]$. This means that

$$t_i^2 [E_i : E][E : \mathbb{Q}] \mid t [E_i : E][E : \mathbb{Q}],$$

so $t_i^2|t$, which implies $t_i = 1$. This means that $\mathcal{D} \otimes_E E_i \simeq \mathrm{M}_t(E_i)$, and therefore $A_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (A_i')^t$, for some abelian variety A_i' with $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_i') \simeq E_i$. Finally, $A_i' \sim_K B^{n_i/t}$, which gives that

$$[E_i : \mathbb{Q}] = n_i[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{n_i}{t} t[E : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{n_i}{t} \dim B = \dim A_i',$$

showing that each A_i' is a variety of GL_2-type.

In order to prove the other implication, by the previous lemma we can suppose that $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_1^t \times \cdots \times A_n^t$, and as a consequence that

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \simeq \mathrm{M}_t(E_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{M}_t(E_n), \tag{10}$$

where the notation is the same as in the first part of the proof. On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \simeq \mathcal{D} \otimes_E E^{c_K}[G] = \mathcal{D} \otimes_E \prod \mathrm{M}_{r_i}(C_i)$$

where the C_i are division algebras. But (10) forces $r_i = 1$ and $C_i \simeq E_i$ for each i. □

Now we state our main result, which gives a characterization of strong modularity:

Theorem 5.3 (Main theorem) *Let K be a Galois number field and let B/K be a K-simple abelian variety. Then B is strongly modular over K if, and only if, it is a K-building block, the extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is abelian, and $[c_{B/K}] \in \mathrm{Ext}(K/\mathbb{Q}, E^*)$.*

PROOF: By corollary 4.3 being a K-building block is a necessary condition, and in that case the previous lemma says that being strongly modular is equivalent to the fact that the algebra $E^{c_{B/K}}[G]$ is commutative. A twisted group algebra $E^c[G]$ is commutative if, and only if, the group G is abelian and the cocycle c is symmetric, i.e., its class belongs to the subgroup $\mathrm{Ext}(G, E^*) \subseteq H^2(G, E^*)$ consisting of symmetric cocycle classes. □

STRONGLY MODULAR SIMPLE VARIETIES. The previous theorem shows that strong modularity puts very restrictive conditions on varieties, that are not satisfied by most modular abelian varieties. In what follows we will examine the case of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-building blocks and see which varieties in their isogeny class are strongly modular.

For that we fix a building block B. Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{End}(B)$. The center $F = Z(\mathcal{D})$ is a totally real number field and $\mathcal{D}$ is either equal to F, in which case $t = 1$ and $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim B$, or it is a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over F, with $t = 2$ and $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{1}{2} \dim B$. Let $\xi = [c_B] \in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*)$ be the cohomology class attached to B.

We fix an embedding $F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. By a theorem of Tate it is known that the group $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$ is trivial (here $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts trivially in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$), so there exist continuous maps $\alpha\colon G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ such that $c_B(\sigma, \tau) = \alpha(\sigma)\alpha(\tau)\alpha(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$; two such maps differ in a Galois character. The map $\overline{\alpha}\colon G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*/F^*$ obtained viewing the values of α modulo elements of F^* is a morphism; Let K_α denote the fixed field of its kernel, which is an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Using the identity (4) we see that the map $\varepsilon_\alpha(\sigma) = \alpha(\sigma)^2/\delta(\mu_\sigma)$ is a Galois character $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$; two such characters differ in the square of a Galois character. Let K_{ε_α} be the fixed field of $\ker \varepsilon_\alpha$; the fact that $\delta(\mu_\sigma)$ is real implies that $K_{\varepsilon_\alpha} \subseteq K_\alpha$. Let $E_\alpha = F(\{\alpha(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}})$ be the number field generated over F by the values of α; from the identity defining $\varepsilon_\alpha(\sigma)$ it easily follows that E_α/F is an abelian extension. Even though the splitting maps α depend on the cocycle c_B (or, what is the same, on a system of compatible isogenies between conjugates of B) the morphisms $\overline{\alpha}$, the fields K_α and E_α, and the characters ε_α do not depend on that choice. We will call the maps α *splitting maps*, the fields K_α *splitting fields*, and the characters ε_α *splitting characters* for the building block B. The isogeny class of a building block determines a set of morphisms $\overline{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*/F^*)$ that is an orbit by the action of the group of Galois characters $\operatorname{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$, and a set of splitting characters $\varepsilon_\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$ that is an orbit by the action of the subgroup of squares $\operatorname{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)^2$.

For every Galois character $\varepsilon \colon G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ choose square roots of its values and define:

$$c_\varepsilon(\sigma, \tau) = \sqrt{\varepsilon(\sigma)}\sqrt{\varepsilon(\tau)}\sqrt{\varepsilon(\sigma\tau)}^{\,-1}.$$

This is a 2-cocycle of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with values in $\{\pm 1\}$. Its cohomology class $[c_\varepsilon] \in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \{\pm 1\}) \simeq \operatorname{Br}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ gives the obstruction to the existence of a square root of ε. If two characters $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$ differ in the square of a character, then $[c_\varepsilon] = [c_{\varepsilon'}]$. If $\xi = [c_B] \in H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^*)$ is the class attached to a building block B, then $\xi_\pm = [c_\varepsilon]$ with ε any splitting character for B (see [14, Theorem 2.6]).

Theorem 5.4 *Let $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a building block and let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ an abelian extension. There exists an abelian variety isogenous to B that is completely defined and strongly modular over the field K if, and only if, K contains a splitting field for $[c_B]$.*

Proof: The proof is essentially the same given in [12, Proposition 5.2] for the case of $\mathbb{Q}$-curves.

Suppose that K contains the splitting field K_α corresponding to some splitting map α. For every element $s \in \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ choose an element $\alpha(s)$ as any of the values $\alpha(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ an automorphism restricting to s, and define $c(s,t) = \alpha(s)\alpha(t)\alpha(st)^{-1}$. Then $[c]$ is an element of $H^2(K/\mathbb{Q}, F^*)$ whose inflation equals to $[c_B]$. By proposition 3.4 there exists an abelian variety B_0 isogenous to B that is completely defined over the field K and with $[c_{B_0/K}] = [c]$. By construction the cocycle c is symmetric, hence $[c_{B_0/K}] \in \operatorname{Ext}(K/\mathbb{Q}, F^*)$ and by theorem 5.3 the variety B_0 is strongly modular over K.

Conversely, assume that there is a variety isogenous to B that is strongly modular over the field K. Let $c_{B_0/K}$ be a cocycle of $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ attached to this variety. Then by theorem 5.3 the algebra $F^{c_{B_0/K}}[G]$ is commutative. Hence the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-algebra $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{c_{B_0/K}}[G] = \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_F F^{c_{B_0/K}}[G]$ is also commutative, and by a property of twisted group algebras over algebraically closed fields (cf. [9, Chapter 2, Corollary 2.5]) it follows that the image of the class $[c_{B_0/K}]$ into the Schur multiplier group $H^2(G, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$ is trivial. Hence there exists a map $s \mapsto \alpha(s) \colon G \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ such that $c_{B_0/K}(s,t) = \alpha(s)\alpha(t)\alpha(st)^{-1}$ and its inflation to the group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a splitting map for the variety that factors through the group G, hence $K_\alpha \subseteq K$. $\square$

6 QM jacobian surfaces

In this section we illustrate the previous general results with applications to the study of concrete abelian surfaces with quaternionic multiplication. The surfaces we will be dealing with are obtained as the jacobians of a family of genus two curves defined in [5]. We will use some results on its arithmetic that appear in [1] to compute the cocycles needed for the characterization of their strong modularity and for the computation of $\mathbb{Q}$-endomorphism algebras of their restriction of scalars.

Since we will need quadratic twists later, we begin with a technical lemma describing the effect of such a twist in the cohomology classes of interest. For every abelian variety B/K over a number field K and element $\gamma \in K^*$ let B_γ denote the $K(\sqrt{\gamma})$-quadratic twist of the variety B over K. In the standard classification of twists by elements of the first Galois cohomology group with values in the automorphism group of the object, this variety corresponds to to the morphism of $H^1(G_K, \{\pm 1\})$ whose kernel has $K(\sqrt{\gamma})$ as its fixed field, which is given by the formula $\sigma \mapsto {}^\sigma\sqrt{\gamma}/\sqrt{\gamma}$. Note that here we interpret ± 1 as automorphisms of B. In other words, B_γ is the abelian variety determined up to K-isomorphism by the fact that there exists an isomorphism $\phi\colon B_\gamma \to B$ defined over $K(\sqrt{\gamma})$ such that $\phi \circ {}^\sigma\phi^{-1} = {}^\sigma\sqrt{\gamma}/\sqrt{\gamma}$ for every $\sigma \in G_K$.

For hyperelliptic jacobians the quadratic twists are easily computed: if C is a hyperelliptic curve defined by the equation $Y^2 = F(X)$ then for every $\gamma \in K^*$ the equation $\gamma Y^2 = F(X)$ defines an hyperelliptic curve that is the $K(\sqrt{\gamma})$-quadratic twist of C over K. The Jacobian $\mathrm{Jac(B_\gamma)}$ is the $K(\sqrt{\gamma})$-quadratic twist of the abelian variety $\mathrm{Jac}(B)$ over K.

Lemma 6.1 *Let B/K be a $\mathbb{Q}$-variety completely defined over a Galois number field K, and let $\gamma \in K^*$. The twist B_γ is completely defined over K if, and only if, the field $K(\sqrt{\gamma})$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$. In this case $[c_{B/K}]$ and $[c_{B_\gamma/K}]$ differ by the cohomology class in $H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}), \{\pm 1\})$ corresponding to the group extension given by the exact sequence of Galois groups*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt{\gamma})/K) \simeq \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt{\gamma})/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow 1 \tag{11}$$

Proof: Note that the cohomology classes $[c_{B/K}]$ and $[c_{B_\gamma/K}]$ we want to compare take values in groups F^* consisting of automorphisms of the varieties; the cohomology class attached to the group extension of the statement takes values in the group $\{\pm 1\}$, which must be identified with a subgroup of F^* by the (canonical) identification of its elements as automorphisms of the variety. In particular, we see that quadratic twisting only affects the sign components of the cohomology classes but leaves the degree components unchanged.

Let $\phi\colon B_\gamma \to B$ be the isomorphism corresponding to the twist. Then ϕ^{-1} is an isomorphism giving B as the $K(\sqrt{\gamma})$-twist of B_γ and for every $\sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q}$ the map ${}^\sigma\phi\colon {}^\sigma(B_\gamma) \to {}^\sigma B$ is an isomorphism giving ${}^\sigma(B_\gamma)$ as the $K(\sqrt{{}^\sigma\gamma})$-twist of ${}^\sigma B$. Every compatible isogeny $\nu_\sigma\colon {}^\sigma B_\gamma \to B_\gamma$ is of the form $\nu_\sigma = \phi^{-1} \circ \mu_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\phi$ for a compatible isogeny $\mu_\sigma\colon {}^\sigma B \to B$ which by hypothesis is defined over K. For $\tau \in G_K$ one has

$${}^\tau\nu_\sigma = {}^\tau\phi^{-1} \circ {}^\tau\mu_\sigma \circ {}^{\tau\sigma}\phi = {}^\tau\phi^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \nu_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\phi^{-1} \circ {}^{\tau\sigma}\phi = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{{}^\tau\sqrt{\gamma}} \circ \nu_\sigma \circ \frac{\sqrt{{}^\sigma\gamma}}{{}^\tau\sqrt{{}^\sigma\gamma}}$$

which equals ν_σ if, and only if, the two other maps, each equal to ± 1, coincide. But

$$\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{{}^\tau\sqrt{\gamma}} = \frac{\sqrt{{}^\sigma\gamma}}{{}^\tau\sqrt{{}^\sigma\gamma}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt{{}^\sigma\gamma}} = \frac{{}^\tau\sqrt{\gamma}}{{}^\tau\sqrt{{}^\sigma\gamma}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \tau \quad \text{fixes} \quad \sqrt{{}^\sigma\gamma}/\sqrt{\gamma}.$$

Hence the isogeny ν_σ is defined over K if, and only if, $\sqrt{{}^\sigma\gamma}/\sqrt{\gamma} \in K$, and this condition is satisfied for every $\sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q}$ exactly when the extension $K(\sqrt{\gamma})/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois.

Now assume the condition satisfied. For each $s \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ fix a a lift $\tilde{s}$ of s in $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt{\gamma})/\mathbb{Q})$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{B_\gamma/K}(s,t) &= \nu_{\tilde s}\circ{}^{\tilde s}\nu_{\tilde t}\circ\nu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1} = \phi^{-1}\circ\mu_{\tilde s}\circ{}^{\tilde s}\phi\circ{}^{\tilde s}\phi^{-1}\circ{}^{\tilde s}\mu_{\tilde t}\circ{}^{\tilde s\tilde t}\phi\circ{}^{\widetilde{st}}\phi^{-1}\circ\mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1}\circ\phi \\ &= \phi^{-1}\circ\mu_{\tilde s}\circ{}^{\tilde s}\mu_{\tilde t}\circ{}^{\widetilde{st}}({}^{\widetilde{st}^{-1}\tilde s\tilde t}\phi\circ\phi^{-1})\circ\mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1}\circ\phi \\ &= \phi^{-1}\circ\mu_{\tilde s}\circ{}^{\tilde s}\mu_{\tilde t}\circ\mu_{\widetilde{st}}^{-1}\circ\phi\circ({}^{\widetilde{st}^{-1}\tilde s\tilde t}\phi\circ\phi^{-1}) \\ &= c_{B/K}(s,t)\circ({}^{\widetilde{st}^{-1}\tilde s\tilde t}\phi\circ\phi^{-1}) = c_{B/K}(s,t)\cdot\frac{{}^{\widetilde{st}^{-1}\tilde s\tilde t}\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the factor in the right is a cocycle associated to the group extension (11). □

We now recall some notation and results from [1]. If $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ we denote $(a,b)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $\imath, \jmath$ with $\imath^2 = a$, $\jmath^2 = b$ and $\imath\jmath + \jmath\imath = 0$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_6 = (2,3)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the quaternion algebra of discriminant 6 over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\imath, (1+\jmath)/2]$, which is a maximal order of $\mathcal{Q}_6$. We also define the subrings $R_2 = \mathbb{Z}[\imath] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, $R_3 = \mathbb{Z}[\jmath + \imath\jmath] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ and $R_6 = \mathbb{Z}[\mu] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$, where $\mu = 2\jmath + \imath\jmath$. A curve C is said to be a *QM-curve with respect to* $\mathcal{O}$ if $\mathcal{O}$ can be embedded in the endomorphism ring of its Jacobian. If $(B,\rho)/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a polarized abelian variety and R is a subring of $\mathrm{End}(B)$, the *field of moduli* k_R is defined to be the smallest number field such that for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/k_R)$ there exists an isomorphism $\phi_\sigma\colon {}^\sigma B \to B$ with $\phi_\sigma^*(\rho) = {}^\sigma\rho$ and such that $r\circ\phi_\sigma = \phi_\sigma\circ{}^\sigma r$ for all $r \in R$. In other words, k_R is the field of moduli of the object consisting of the polarized abelian variety (B,ρ) together with the ring of endomorphisms $R \subseteq \mathrm{End}(B)$.

The family of surfaces we are going to consider is the following. For every algebraic number $j \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ let C_j be the genus 2 curve with equation

$$\begin{aligned} C_j\colon\quad Y^2 = {}&\left(-4+3\sqrt{-6j}\right)X^6 - 12(27j+16)X^5 - 6\,(27j+16)\left(28+9\sqrt{-6j}\right)X^4 \\ &+ 16(27j+16)^2X^3 + 12(27j+16)2\left(28-9\sqrt{-6j}\right)X^2 \\ &- 48(27j+16)^3X + 8(27j+16)3\left(4+3\sqrt{-6j}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Let $B_j = \mathrm{Jac}(C_j)$ be its Jacobian, that will always be seen as a polarized variety with the canonical principal polarization induced by C_j. Some properties of these objects proved in [1] are summarized in the following:

Proposition 6.2 (Baba-Granath)

- *The curve C_j has field of moduli $\mathbb{Q}(j)$. For every $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(j)}$ such that ${}^\sigma\sqrt{-6j} = -\sqrt{-6j}$ the map $(x,y) \mapsto \left(\frac{-2(27j+16)}{x}, \frac{y(-2(27j+16))^{3/2}}{x^3}\right)$ is an isomorphism ${}^\sigma C_j \to C_j$.*

- *The field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6j})\cdot k_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a field of definition of the endomorphisms of B_j.*

- *The curves C_j are QM-curves with respect to $\mathcal{O}$. Moreover, for all $j \in \mathbb{Q}$ but for 26 values,* $\mathrm{End}^0(B_j) \simeq \mathcal{Q}_6$. *Under this isomorphism the Rosati involution $'$ attached to the canonical polarization of $\mathcal{Q}_j$ is given by $\varphi' = \mu^{-1}\varphi^*\mu$, where * indicates the canonical conjugation of $\mathcal{Q}_6$.*

- *The fields of moduli k_R for the canonically polarized jacobian B_j and several rings of endomorphisms of interest are given in the following diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & k_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{j}, \sqrt{-(27j+16)}) \arrow[dl, no head] \arrow[d, no head] \arrow[dr, no head] & \\
k_{R_2} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-(27j+16)}) \arrow[dr, no head] & k_{R_6} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{j}) \arrow[d, no head] & k_{R_3} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-j(27j+16)}) \arrow[dl, no head] \\
 & k_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Q}(j) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

When $j \in \mathbb{Q}$ the abelian surfaces B_j have the property that for every $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ there exists an isomorphism $\phi_\sigma \colon {}^\sigma B_j \to B_j$, but this isomorphism does not need to be compatible (indeed, in general it is not compatible). However, if the algebra of endomorphisms of B_j is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_6$ then we can always find compatible isogenies. More generally, we have the

Proposition 6.3 *Let $B/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an abelian variety whose algebra of endomorphisms is a central simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. Let $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. If ${}^\sigma B$ and B are isogenous then there exists a compatible isogeny ${}^\sigma B \to B$.*

PROOF: Call $\mathcal{D}$ the endomorphism algebra of B, and let $\phi_\sigma \colon {}^\sigma B \to B$ be an isogeny. The map $\varphi \mapsto \phi_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\varphi \circ \phi_\sigma^{-1}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{D}$ since it fixes the center, which is $\mathbb{Q}$ by hypothesis. Then the Noether-Skolem theorem implies that it is inner; that is, there exists an element $\psi_\sigma \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\phi_\sigma \circ {}^\sigma\varphi \circ \phi_\sigma^{-1} = \psi_\sigma^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \psi_\sigma$. The isogeny $\mu_\sigma = \psi_\sigma \circ \phi_\sigma$ is compatible. $\Box$

Hence, we see that if $j \in \mathbb{Q}$ and the endomorphism algebra of B_j is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_6$ then B_j is a building block completely defined over the field

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6j}, \sqrt{j}, \sqrt{-(27j+16)}, \sqrt{-2(27j+16)}).$$

From now on we assume that this is the case. Now we aim to compute the cohomology class $[c_{B_j}]$. The degree component $\overline{[c_{B_j}]}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}^*/\{\pm 1\}\mathbb{Q}^{*2})$, and we use the following notation to indicate the elements of this group: if $t, d \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ we denote by $(t,d)_P$ the morphism that sends an element $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to $d \cdot \{\pm 1\}\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ if ${}^\sigma\sqrt{t} = -\sqrt{t}$ and has trivial image otherwise. An expression of the form $(t_1,d_1)_P \cdot (t_2,d_2)_P \cdot \ldots \cdot (t_r,d_r)_P$ denotes the product of such morphisms, and all elements in $\mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}^*/\{\pm 1\}\mathbb{Q}^{*2})$ admit a (non-unique) expression of this kind.

Proposition 6.4 *The sign and degree components of $[c_{B_j}]$ are given by*

$$\overline{[c_{B_j}]} = (-(27j+16), 3)_P \cdot (-j(27j+16), 2)_P, \tag{13}$$

$$[c_{B_j}]_\pm = (-(27j+16), 3)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot (-j(27j+16), 2)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot (2,3)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \tag{14}$$

PROOF: Recall that the degree component is the map $\sigma \mapsto \delta(\mu_\sigma) \bmod \{\pm 1\}\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$, where μ_σ is any compatible isogeny $\mu_\sigma \colon {}^\sigma B_j \to B_j$. If $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/k_{\mathcal{O}})$, by the definition of $k_{\mathcal{O}}$ there exists a compatible isomorphism $\phi_\sigma \colon {}^\sigma B_j \to B_j$ such that $\phi_\sigma^*(\rho) = {}^\sigma\rho$, where ρ is the polarization of B_j given by C_j. Applying the definition of δ (see [11, Pag. 220]) we find that $\delta(\phi_\sigma) =$

$\phi_\sigma\circ{}^\sigma\rho^{-1}\circ\hat{\phi}_\sigma\circ\rho=\phi_\sigma\circ\phi_\sigma^{-1}\circ\rho^{-1}\circ\hat{\phi}_\sigma^{-1}\circ\hat{\phi}_\sigma\circ\rho=1$. Hence, the degree component is the inflation of a map defined in $\mathrm{Gal}(k_{\mathcal{O}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Now, since $k_{\mathcal{O}}=k_{R_2}\cdot k_{R_3}$, we just need to compute $\delta(\mu_\sigma)$ for $\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/k_{R_d})$ for $d=2,3$.

Let σ be an element in $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/k_{R_d})$ that does not fix $k_{\mathcal{O}}$. Since $k_{R_2}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-(27j+16)})$ and $k_{R_3}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-j(27j+16)})$, in order to prove (13) we just need to see that $\delta(\mu_\sigma)\equiv d \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^{*2}}$. By the definition of k_{R_d} there exists an isomorphism $\phi_\sigma\colon {}^\sigma B\to B$ compatible with the endomorphisms in R_d, but not necessarily compatible with all the endomorphisms. However, we know from 6.3 that we can find $\psi_\sigma\in\mathcal{Q}_6$ such that $\mu_\sigma=\psi_\sigma\circ\phi_\sigma$ is a compatible isogeny. From the proof of 6.3 we see that ψ_σ is characterized by the property that $\phi_\sigma\circ{}^\sigma\varphi\circ\phi_\sigma^{-1}=\psi_\sigma^{-1}\circ\varphi\circ\psi_\sigma$ for every $\varphi\in\mathcal{Q}_6$. But if we take $\varphi\in R_d$, this particularizes to $\varphi=\psi_\sigma^{-1}\circ\varphi\circ\psi_\sigma$, so ψ_d commutes with every element in R_d, which implies that ψ_d belongs to $R_d\otimes\mathbb{Q}$. Hence, if we write $R_d=\mathbb{Z}[c_d]$, with $c_2=\imath$ and $c_3=\jmath+\imath\jmath$ we have that $\psi_d=a+bc_d$ for some $a,b\in\mathbb{Q}$. In fact, $b\neq 0$ because otherwise the isomorphism ψ_σ would be compatible with all the endomorphisms of B_j, and this is not the case since we are assuming that σ does not fix $k_{\mathcal{O}}$. Using the definition of $\delta(\mu_\sigma)$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\mu_\sigma) &= \delta(\psi_\sigma\circ\phi_\sigma)=\psi_\sigma\circ\phi_\sigma\circ{}^\sigma\rho^{-1}\circ\widehat{\psi_\sigma\circ\phi_\sigma}\circ\rho=\psi_\sigma\circ\phi_\sigma\circ\phi_\sigma^{-1}\circ\rho^{-1}\circ\hat{\phi}_\sigma^{-1}\circ\hat{\phi}_\sigma\circ\hat{\psi}_\sigma\circ\rho\\ &= \psi_\sigma\circ\rho^{-1}\circ\hat{\psi}_\sigma\circ\rho=\psi_\sigma\circ\psi'_\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Now we know that if $\varphi\in\mathcal{Q}_6$ its Rosati involution is given by $\varphi'=\mu^{-1}\varphi^*\mu$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\mu_\sigma) &= \psi_\sigma\circ\psi'_\sigma=(a+bc_d)(a+bc_d)'=(a+bc_d)\mu^{-1}(a-bc_d)\mu\\ &= (a+bc_d)^2=a+db^2+2abc_d,\end{aligned}$$

and since $\delta(\mu_\sigma)$ must lie in $\mathbb{Q}^*$ and $b\neq 0$, we see that $a=0$ and $\delta(\mu_\sigma)\equiv d \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^{*2}}$.

Now, to prove the identity (14) we use [14, Theorem 2.8], which gives a formula for the Brauer class of the endomorphism algebra of a building block. Particularized to our case, and having computed the degree component, this formula gives

$$(2,3)_{\mathbb{Q}}=[c_{B_j}]_\pm\cdot(-(27j+16),3)_{\mathbb{Q}}\cdot(-j(27j+16),2)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

and from this (14) follows. □

A CONCRETE EXAMPLE: $j=1/81$. Let us now consider the example corresponding to this value of the parameter; let $C=C_j$ and $B=\mathrm{Jac}(C)$. Then B is a building block completely defined over $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6},\sqrt{-3})$ and it is strongly modular over K if and only if $[c_{B/K}]\in\mathrm{Ext}(K/\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{Q}^*)$; that is, if and only if it can be represented by a symmetric cocycle. In fact, since the degree component is always symmetric (over an abelian extension) we need to check this property only for the sign component $[c_{B/K}]_\pm\in H^2(K/\mathbb{Q},\{\pm1\})$.

For $d=-3,-6$ we denote by ε_d the non-trivial character $\varepsilon_d\colon\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q})\to\{\pm1\}$. The group $H^2(K/\mathbb{Q},\{\pm1\})$ admits a basis as a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-vector space consisting of the classes of three 2-cocycles that we call $c_{\varepsilon_{-6}}$, $c_{\varepsilon_{-3}}$ and $c_{-6,-3}$ (see for instance [13, Section 2] for the definition of these cocycles and their properties). Hence, we have that

$$[c_{B/K}]_\pm=[c_{\varepsilon_{-6}}]^a\cdot[c_{\varepsilon_{-3}}]^b\cdot[c_{-6,-3}]^c \tag{15}$$

for some $a,b,c\in\{0,1\}$, and $[c_{B/K}]\in\mathrm{Ext}(K/\mathbb{Q},\{\pm1\})$ if and only if $c=0$. We know that $\mathrm{Inf}[c_{B/K}]_\pm=[c_B]_\pm$, which turns out to be trivial by (14). Since $\mathrm{Inf}[c_{\varepsilon_{-6}}]=(-6,-1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$,

$\mathrm{Inf}[c_{\varepsilon_{-3}}] = (-3,-1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathrm{Inf}[c_{-6,-3}] = (-6,-3)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the only possibilities are $a = b = c = 0$ or $a = b = 1,\ c = 0$. In both cases $c = 0$, which implies that $[c_{B/K}]_{\pm} \in \mathrm{Ext}(K/\mathbb{Q}, \{\pm 1\})$ and therefore B is strongly modular over K.

Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in H^2(K/\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^*)$ be the cohomology classes with degree component $\overline{\xi}_1 = \overline{\xi}_2 = (-3,6)_P$ and sign component $\xi_{1\pm} = 1$, $\xi_{2\pm} = [c_{\varepsilon_{-6}}] \cdot [c_{\varepsilon_{-3}}]$, and let $A = \mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} B$. We have seen that either $[c_{B/K}] = \xi_1$ or $[c_{B/K}] = \xi_2$. By direct computation we see that $\mathbb{Q}^{\xi_1}[G] \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}) \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ and $\mathbb{Q}^{\xi_2}[G] \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}, \sqrt{-6})$, where $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. By 4.5 we see that if $[c_{B/K}] = \xi_1$ then $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_g^2 \times A_h^2$ for some newforms g and h with $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_g) \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_h) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$. On the other hand, if $[c_{B/K}] = \xi_2$ then $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_f^2$ for some newform f with $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A_f) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}, \sqrt{-6})$. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of K, let $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(B/K, T)$ be the numerator of the zeta function of the reduction of B modulo $\mathfrak{p}$, and let $L_p(B/K, T) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} L_{\mathfrak{p}}(B/K, T^{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{p}})$, which is in fact equal to $L_p(A/\mathbb{Q}, T)$. By counting points in the reduction of B modulo primes of K we have computed some of these local factors:

p	$L_p(B/K,T) = L_p(A/\mathbb{Q},T)$
5	$(1-4T^2+5^2T^4)^4$
7	$(1-2T+7T^2)^8$
11	$(1-16T^2+11^2T^4)^4$
13	$(1-25T^2+13^2T^4)^4$
17	$(1-20T^2+17^2T^4)^4$
19	$(1-37T^2+19^2T^4)^4$
23	$(1+40T^2+23^2T^4)^4$
29	$(1-34T^2+29^2T^4)^4$
31	$(1-T+31T^2)^8$
37	$(1-10T^2+37^2T^4)^4$
41	$(1+58T^2+41^2T^4)^4$

Some of these factors are of the form $(1 + e_pT^2 + p^2T^4)^4$, and if we had $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_g^2 \times A_h^2$ for some newforms $g = \sum b_nq^n$ and $h = \sum c_nq^n$ this would imply that

$$1 + e_pT^2 + p^2T^4 = (1 - b_pT + pT^2)(1 - {}^{\sigma}b_pT + pT^2),$$

with σ the non-trivial automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})/\mathbb{Q}$, and a similar relation would hold for the coefficients c_p. But this relation implies that $b_p^2 = c_p^2 = 2p - e_p$, which is impossible for the computed values of e_p because then the coefficients b_p and c_p would not lie in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$. Therefore, the actual cohomology class is $[c_{B/K}] = \xi_2$ and $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_f^2$ for some newform $f = \sum a_nq^n$ with the a_n generating $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}, \sqrt{-6})$. However, proposition 3.3 tells us that there also exists a variety in the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isogeny class of B completely defined over K and with cohomology class ξ_1. We will find such a variety as the Jacobian of a quadratic twist of C.

Let $\gamma = 2 - \sqrt{2}$. The extension $K(\sqrt{\gamma})/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois, and an easy computation shows that the cohomology class associated to (11) in this particular case is $[c_{\varepsilon_{-6}}] \cdot [c_{\varepsilon_{-3}}]$. Hence, the variety B_γ is completely defined over K and $[c_{B_\gamma/K}] = c_{B/K} \cdot [c_{\varepsilon_{-6}}] \cdot [c_{\varepsilon_{-3}}] = \xi_1$. Arguing as before we see that $A_\gamma = \mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} B_\gamma$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-isogenous to the square of a product of two modular abelian varieties with field of Fourier coefficients equal to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$. In $S_2(\Gamma_0(2^4 \cdot 3^5))$ we find a newform with field of Fourier coefficients $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ and Fourier expansion

$$g = q + \sqrt{6}q^5 - 2q^7 + \sqrt{6}q^{11} - q^{13} + 3\sqrt{6}q^{17} + q^{19} - \sqrt{6}q^{23} + \dots$$

Let ε be the quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor 8 with $\varepsilon(3) = \varepsilon(5) = -1$, and let $h = g \otimes \varepsilon$ which is a newform in $S_2(\Gamma_0(2^6 \cdot 3^5))$. In the following table we list some local factors of the zeta functions corresponding to the varieties B_γ/K, $A_g/\mathbb{Q}$ and $A_h/\mathbb{Q}$.

p	$L_p(B_\gamma/K, T) = L_p(A_\gamma/\mathbb{Q}, T)$	$L_p(A_g/\mathbb{Q}, T)$	$L_p(A_h/\mathbb{Q}, T)$
5	$(1 + 4T^2 + 5^2T^4)^4$	$(1 + 4T^2 + 5^2T^4)$	$(1 + 4T^2 + 5^2T^4)$
7	$(1 + 2T + 7T^2)^8$	$(1 + 2T + 7T^2)^2$	$(1 + 2T + 7T^2)^2$
11	$(1 + 16T^2 + 11^2T^4)^4$	$(1 + 16T^2 + 11^2T^4)$	$(1 + 16T^2 + 11^2T^4)$
13	$(1 - T + 13T^2)4(1 + T + 13T^2)4$	$(1 + T + 13T^2)^2$	$(1 - T + 13T^2)^2$
17	$(1 - 20T^2 + 17^2T^4)4$	$(1 - 20T^2 + 17^2T^4)$	$(1 - 20T^2 + 17^2T^4)$
19	$(1 - T + 19T^2)^4(1 + T + 19T^2)^4$	$(1 - T + 19T^2)^2$	$(1 + T + 19T^2)^2$
23	$(1 + 40T^2 + 23^2T^4)^4$	$(1 + 40T^2 + 23^2T^4)$	$(1 + 40T^2 + 23^2T^4)$
29	$(1 + 34T^2 + 29^2T^4)^4$	$(1 + 34T^2 + 29^2T^4)$	$(1 + 34T^2 + 29^2T^4)$
31	$(1 - T + 31T^2)^8$	$(1 - T + 31T^2)^2$	$(1 - T + 31T^2)^2$
37	$(1 - 8T + 37T^2)^4(1 + 8T + 37T^2)^4$	$(1 - 8T + 37T^2)^2$	$(1 + 8T + 37T^2)^2$
41	$(1 + 58T^2 + 41^2T^4)^4$	$(1 + 58T^2 + 41^2T^4)$	$(1 + 58T^2 + 41^2T^4)$

We have checked the equality of the local factors of the zeta functions of A_γ and $A_g^2 \times A_h^2$ for all primes $p < 1000$ ($p \neq 2, 3$) and this suggests that $A_\gamma \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_g^2 \times A_h^2$.

Comparing the local factors $L_p(B/K, T)$ and $L_p(B_\gamma/K, T)$ we can also find a modular form f such that $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A_f^2$ as a twist of g. More precisely, let ψ be the Dirichlet character of order 4 and conductor 16 such that $\psi(3) = -\sqrt{-1}$ and $\psi(5) = \sqrt{-1}$. The modular form $f = g \otimes \psi$ is a newform in $S_2(\Gamma_0(2^8 \cdot 3^5), \psi^2)$ and the local factors $L_p(B/K, T)$ and $L_p(A_f, T)^2$ coincide for all primes $p < 1000$ ($p \neq 2, 3$).

A CONCRETE EXAMPLE: $j = -4/27$. We now consider another example, corresponding to the stated value of j. The jacobian B of the curve C_j is also a building block completely defined over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6}, \sqrt{-3})$, but a similar analysis shows that in this case the only possibilities for $[c_{B/K}]_\pm$ have $c = 1$ in the expression (15), and therefore $[c_{B/K}]_\pm$ is not symmetric. This means that no variety in the isogeny class of B is strongly modular over K. If we let for instance $L = K(\sqrt{-1})$ it is easy to see that there exist symmetric elements of $H^2(L/\mathbb{Q}, \{\pm 1\})$ whose inflation to $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is $[c_B]_\pm$, and then by 3.4 and 5.3 there exists a variety isogenous to B completely defined and strongly modular over L.

Thus, in this case we have seen that it is enough to go to a quadratic extension L of K to find a variety in the isogeny class of B that is strongly modular over L. However, in the family $\{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Q}}$ we can find varieties where any minimal field L with this property is arbitrarily large. In fact, by 5.4 this is equivalent to find in this family varieties where the degree of any splitting field is arbitrarily large. We will see this by means of the following

Lemma 6.5 *Let $r \geqslant 2$ be an integer and let p be a prime such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2^r}$ and $p \equiv -1 \pmod 3$. Then the order of any splitting character for $B_{1/p}$ is at least 2^r.*

PROOF: For simplicity we call B the variety $B_{1/p}$, and let $[c_B]$ be its attached cohomology class. By (14) the sign component $[c_B]_\pm$ is given as the following product of quaternion algebras:

$$[c_B]_\pm = (-(27 + 16p)/p, 3)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot (-(27 + 16p), 2)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot (2, 3)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Applying the formulas for computing the local Hilbert Symbols at p we find that

$$(-(27 + 16p)/p, 3)_p = -1, \qquad (-(27 + 16p), 2)_p = 1, \qquad (2, 3)_p = 1,$$

and this implies that the local component of $[c_B]_\pm$ at the prime p is -1. But $[c_B]_\pm = [c_\varepsilon]$, where ε is the splitting character associated to any splitting map α for B. We can identify ε with a primitive Dirichlet character of a certain conductor N, and if ε_p denotes the component of ε modulo the largest power of p dividing N, then the local component of $[c_\varepsilon]$ at p is given by $\varepsilon_p(-1)$. The value $\varepsilon_p(-1) = -1$ is taken by the characters of order multiple of $2^{\operatorname{ord}_2(p-1)}$, and it follows that $\operatorname{ord}(\varepsilon) \geqslant \operatorname{ord}(\varepsilon_p) \geqslant 2^{\operatorname{ord}_2(p-1)}$, which is at least 2^r by our choice of p. $\square$

Proposition 6.6 *For any integer r there exists a variety B in the family $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Q}}$ such that any splitting field for B has degree at least 2^r.*

PROOF: Take a prime p as in the previous lemma, and take as B the variety $B_{1/p}$. Let α be any splitting map for B, and let ε be its associated splitting character. Then we have that $[K_\alpha : \mathbb{Q}] \geqslant [K_\varepsilon : \mathbb{Q}] \geqslant 2^r$. $\square$

From the lemma 6.5 we can derive another interesting consequence.

Proposition 6.7 *Let g be any natural number. There exist varieties B in the family $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Q}}$ such that every $\mathbb{Q}$-simple abelian variety A of GL_2-type having B as its simple factor is of dimension $\dim A \geqslant g$.*

PROOF: Let r be an integer such that $\varphi(2^r) = 2^{r-1} \geqslant g$, and take $B = B_{1/p}$ with p a prime as in lemma 6.5. If A is a simple abelian variety of GL_2-type that has B as its simple factor, the field $E = \operatorname{End}^0_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is isomorphic to E_α for some splitting map α for B. The field E_α contains the values of the splitting character ε associated to α, hence contains the 2^r-th. cyclotomic extension, of degree $\varphi(2^r)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, and we have that $\dim A = [E_\alpha : \mathbb{Q}] \geqslant \varphi(2^r) \geqslant g$. $\square$

References

[1] S. Baba; H. Granath; *Genus 2 curves with quaternionic multiplication.* Canad. J. Math. **60** (2008), no. 4, 734–757.

[2] J. Cremona. *Algorithms for modular elliptic curves.* Second edition, Cambridge University Press (1997). Available online at `www.warwick.ac.uk/~masgaj/book/fulltext/index.html`.

[3] L. Dieulefait, J. Jiménez-Urroz. *Solving Fermat-type equations via modular $\mathbb{Q}$-curves over polyquadratic fields.* To appear in Crelle's Journal.

[4] N. Elkies. *On elliptic K-curves.* Modular curves and abelian varieties, Progress in Math., vol. 224 (2002), pp. 81–91.

[5] K.-I. Hashimoto, N. Murabayashi. *Shimura curves as intersections of Humbert surfaces and defining equations of QM-curves of genus two.* Tôhoku Math. J. **47** (1995), no. 2, pp. 272–295.

[6] J. González, J.-C. Lario. *Rational and elliptic parametrizations of $\mathbb{Q}$-curves.* J. Number Theory **72** (1998), no. 1, pp. 13–31.

[7] J. González, J.-C. Lario. *$\mathbb{Q}$-curves and the Manin ideal.* Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), no. 3, pp. 475–503.

[8] J. González, J.-C. Lario. *Modular elliptic directions with complex multiplication (with an application to Gross's elliptic curves).* Comment. Math. Helv. (To appear.)

[9] G. Karpilovski. *Group representations, vol. 2.* North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 177. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.

[10] J. S. Milne. *Complex Multiplication.* Course notes available at `www.jmilne.org`.

[11] E. E. Pyle. *Abelian varieties over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *with large endomorphism algebras and their simple components over* $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Modular curves and abelian varieties, Progress in Math., vol. 224 (2002), pp. 189–239.

[12] J. Quer. $\mathbb{Q}$*-curves and abelian varieties of* GL_2*-type.* Proc. London Math. Soc. **81** (2000) no. 2, pp. 285–317.

[13] J. Quer. *Embedding problems over abelian groups and an application to elliptic curves.* J. Algebra **237** (2001), no. 1, pp. 186–202.

[14] J. Quer. *Fields of definition of building blocks.* Math. Comp. **78** (2009), pp. 537–554.

[15] K. Ribet, *Fields of definition of abelian varieties with real multiplication.* Arithmetic geometry (Tempe, AZ, 1993), 107–118, Contemp. Math., **174**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[16] K. A. Ribet. *Abelian varieties over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *and modular forms.* Modular curves and abelian varieties, Progress in Math., vol. 224 (2002), pp. 241–261.

[17] G. Shimura. *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions.* Publ. Math. Soc. Japan, vol. 11, Princeton University Press (1971).

[18] W. Stein. *Modular forms: A computational approach, with an appendix by Paul Gunnells.* AMS Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 79. (2007).